



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of David Z. Becher, et al.
Serial No. 09/652,771
Filed August 31, 2000
Confirmation No. 4545
For ENHANCED METHOD OF KILLING WEEDS WITH GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE
Examiner Alton N. Pryor

August 16, 2004

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.705

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,
Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated May 20, 2004 in the above-referenced patent application, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination. Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.705(b) and/or (d), Applicants submit the following statement of facts in support of this request for reconsideration.

The above-reference patent application was filed on August 31, 2000. The Notice of Allowance indicated that the subject application was entitled to a patent term adjustment of **0 days**. The basis for the indicated patent term adjustment is an Office delay of **0 days** and applicant delay of **167 days**. The applicant delay was calculated as the sum of:

- A. **86 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Notice to File Missing Parts) was mailed (i.e. January 17, 2001) and ending on the date the Response was filed (i.e. April 12, 2001);
- B. **20 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Final Rejection) was mailed (i.e. August 16, 2002) and ending on the date the Response after was filed (i.e. September 4, 2002); and

- C. **61 days** as calculated under 37 CFR § 1.704(b) as the difference between the time period beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date the Office action (i.e. Non-Final Rejection) was mailed (i.e. June 27, 2003) and ending on the date the Response after was filed (i.e. August 27, 2003).

Applicants submit that the **applicant delay** must be recalculated. First, the 86 day applicant delay was based on an incorrect response date of April 12, 2001. The Response to the Notice to File Missing Parts was, in fact, filed on February 1, 2001 as indicated on the enclosed copy of the return postcard thereby giving a delay of **16 days**. Second, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.704(c)(10), the submission of an amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 submitted after the Notice of Allowance is mailed will be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution, and the patent term will be reduced by the lesser of (1) the period between the filing date of the amendment and the Office response or (2) four months. A first amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 was filed on June 11, 2004 with an Office response entered on July 21, 2004; a delay of **41 days**. A second amendment under 37 CFR §1.312 was filed on August 3, 2004. Applicant's undersigned attorney interviewed Examiner Alton Pryor on August 12, 2004 regarding entry of that Rule 312 amendment. Examiner Pryor agreed on that date to enter the amendment, thus giving an applicant delay of 10 days. A recalculated applicant delay of $16 + 20 + 61 + 41 + 10 = 148$ days results.

Applicants respectfully submit that the 0 day **Office delay** as was incorrectly calculated, and should instead be **260 days**. According to 37 CFR §1.702(b), the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent (i.e., Notice of Allowance mailed on May 17, 2004) within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) (i.e., August 31, 2000), with the period of adjustment being the number of days in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the filing date. The Office delay is therefore 260 days.

The above-mentioned Notice of Allowance, dated May 17, 2004, indicated that the patent term adjustment is 0 days. However, from the above stated facts, the patent term adjustment should equal **112 days** (260 days - 148 days).

The subject application is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

In light of the foregoing facts, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the \$200 fee and any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 19-1345. However, in view of the fact that the Office made a mistake in calculating the

correct patent term adjustment, Applicants respectfully request that the fee in connection with this request be waived.

Respectfully submitted,



James D. Harper, Reg. No. 51,781
SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT & ROEDEL
One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
(314) 231-5400

MISCELLANEOUS

(390)

File MTC 6692.1 Attorney JDH/k11Client Name Inventor(s) or Mark BeecherSerial No. 09/652,771 Patent/Reg. No.

The following has been received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date stamped hereon:

 Amendment _____ Fee Transmittal Form Preliminary Amendment Combined Declaration/Power of Attorney Response to Missing Parts Notice Notice of Appeal Assignment and Cover Sheet Status Inquiry Brief IDS, PTO/SB/08A, _____ Reference(s) Check \$ _____ Sheet(s) of Formal Drawing(s) Letter to Commissioner Letter to Official Draftsman RCE Transmittal Issue Fee/PTO-85b/Certificate of Mailing Maintenance Fee Publication Fee Section _____ Declaration Statement of Use Other Request for Reconsideration of PTA Extension of Time to Oppose Other _____

EV 432650958 US

EV432650958US

Mailing Label
 Label 11-F June 2002


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Post Office To Addressee

ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY)		Day of Delivery		Flat Rate Envelope
		<input type="checkbox"/> Next	<input type="checkbox"/> Second	<input type="checkbox"/>
Date In		Postage		
Mo. Day Year		<input type="checkbox"/> 12 Noon <input type="checkbox"/> 3 PM \$		
Time In		Military		
<input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM		<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Day <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Day		
Weight		Int'l Alpha Country Code		Return Receipt Fee
lbs. ozs.				
No Delivery		Acceptance Clerk Initials		COD Fee Insurance Fee
<input type="checkbox"/> Weekend <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday				\$

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)		Time		Employee Signature
Delivery Attempt	Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM	<input type="checkbox"/> PM	
Delivery Attempt	Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM	<input type="checkbox"/> PM	Employee Signature
Delivery Date	Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM	<input type="checkbox"/> PM	Employee Signature
Mo. Day	<input type="checkbox"/> AM	<input type="checkbox"/> PM		Employee Signature

WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Domestic Only) Additional merchandise insurance is valid if waiver of signature is requested. I wish delivery to be made without obtaining signature of addressee or addressee's agent (if delivery employee judges that article can be left in secure location) and I authorize that delivery employee's signature constitutes valid proof of delivery.

NO DELIVERY Weekend Holiday

Customer Signature

METHOD OF PAYMENT:		PHONE ()	
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No. <u>314 231 5400</u>			
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)	PHONE ()		
<u>SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT</u> <u>& ROEDEL</u> <u>1 METROPOLITAN SQ FL 16</u> <u>SAINT LOUIS MO 63102-2711</u>			
<u>MTC 6692.1 +1 (JDH/k11)</u>			

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)		PHONE ()	
<u>Mail Stop Issue Fee</u> <u>COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS</u> <u>PO BOX 1450</u> <u>ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450</u>			

 PRESS HARD.
 You are making 3 copies.
FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 www.usps.com

95/ 500

F:02 T:22





Law Offices of

SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone (314) 231-5400
Facsimile (314) 231-4342

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

39-21(3779)B

DATE: July 29, 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: MTC 6692.1

PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 872-9306

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO: Examiner Alton N. Pryor

THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: James D. Harper

NUMBER OF PAGES: 9 INCLUDING COVER SHEET

TIME SENT: 3:41 pm OPERATOR'S NAME Lori Macke

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Lori Macke
Typed or printed name of person signing certification

Lori Macke

Signature

July 29, 2004

Date

Type of paper transmitted: Amendment Under Rule 1.312

Applicant's Name: David Z. Becher et al.

Serial No. (Control No.): 09/652,771 Examiner: Alton N. Pryor

Filing Date: August 31, 2000 Art Unit: 1616

Application Title: ENHANCED METHOD OF KILLING WEEDS WITH GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES CLEARLY, CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. CONFIRMING NUMBER IS (314) 231-5400.

* P. 01
*
* TRANSACTION REPORT
*
* JUL-29-2004 THU 03:43 PM
*
*
* FOR: SENNIGER POWERS 3142314342
*
* DATE START RECEIVER TX TIME PAGES TYPE NOTE M# DP
*
* JUL-29 03:41 PM 17038729306 2' 06" 9 SEND OK 720
*
*
* TOTAL : 2M 6S PAGES: 9
*

Law Offices of

SENNIGER POWERS

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone (314) 231-5400
Facsimile (314) 231-4342

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

DATE: July 29, 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: MTC 6692.1 39-21 (3779) F

PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 872-9306

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO: Examiner Alton N. Pryor

THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: James D. Harper

NUMBER OF PAGES: 9 INCLUDING COVER SHEET

TIME SENT: 3:41 pm OPERATOR'S NAME Lori Macke

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.